

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,219	03/19/2004	Sarita Chaudhary	058187-0109	6099
22428 75	90 08/11/2006		EXAM	INER
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP			KRUSE, DAVID H	
SUITE 500 3000 K STREE	T NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			1638	
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	aminer. Note the attached Office priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) have been received. It is have been received in Application of the certified copies not received the certifie	e Action or form PTO-152. a)-(d) or (f). tion No yed in this National Stage red.
	aminer. Note the attached Office priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) have been received. It is have been received in Application of the priority documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	e Action or form PTO-152. a)-(d) or (f). tion No ved in this National Stage
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the output	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-71</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-71</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
Disposition of Claims		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar		rosecution as to the merits is
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	 action is non-final.	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the second will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
	David H. Kruse	1638
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Application No. 10/804,219	Applicant(s) CHAUDHARY ET AL.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,219 Page 2

Art Unit: 1638

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- I. Claims 1-35 and 63-70, drawn to a method of expression of a nucleic acid sequence of interest in plant seeds using a seed-specific promoter obtained from flax and the resultant transgenic plant, classified in class 800, subclass 287, for example.
- II. Claims 36-62 and 71, drawn to and isolated nucleic acid "sequence" capable of directing seed-specific expression in plants and obtained from flax and an expression cassette comprising said isolated nucleic acid sequence, classified in class 536, subclass 24.1, for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the isolated nucleic acid sequence of Group II can be used in a materially different process than that of Group I, such as in a DNA isolation method.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, recognized divergent subject matter, and because the search required for one of the

Application/Control Number: 10/804,219 Page 3

Art Unit: 1638

groups is not required for another, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. In addition, Applicant is required to elect one nucleic acid sequence (e.g. SEQ ID Nos. 1, 4, 6 or 8) to be examined in conjunction with the elected group of claims. The Patent and Trademark Office recently published its policy for the examination of patent applications that claim large numbers of nucleotide sequences in the Official Gazette, 1192 O.G. 68 (November 19, 1996). Nucleotide sequences encoding different promoter are structurally distinct chemical compounds and are unrelated to one another. These sequences are thus deemed to normally constitute independent and distinct inventions within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 121. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such nucleotide is presumed to represent an independent and distinct invention, subject to a restriction requirement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 121 and 37 CFR § 1.141. In establishing the new policy, the Commissioner has partially waived the requirements of 37 CFR § 1.141et seq. and permits a reasonable number of such nucleotide sequences to be claimed in a single application. It has been determined that normally ten sequences constitute a reasonable number for examination purposes. The Official Gazette Notice of November 19, 1996 is one that permits the examiner to waive restriction to no more than one invention. Since 1996, databases and resource allocations at the PTO have changed and the examination of 10 sequences on the merits in the instant application would present a burden on PTO resources. Additionally, it is noted that one nucleotide and one amino acid sequence is within the O.G. notice

Art Unit: 1638

range of "up to ten" sequences. This election is not to be construed as an election of species.

5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR § 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR § 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR § 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §§ 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised

Application/Control Number: 10/804,219 Page 5

Art Unit: 1638

that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. § 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete within one month (not less than 30 days) must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR § 1.143).
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR § 1.17(i).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David H. Kruse, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0799. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg can be reached at (571) 272-0975. The central FAX number for official correspondence is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

DAVID H. KRUSE, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

www. Mohuse

David H. Kruse, Ph.D. 1 August 2006

9. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.